

COFINITENESS AND ASSOCIATED PRIMES OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

THOMAS MARLEY AND JANET C. VASSILEV

ABSTRACT. Let R be a d -dimensional regular local ring, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension n . We prove that the set of associated primes of $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_I^j(M))$ is finite for all i and j in the following cases:

- (a) $\dim M \leq 3$;
- (b) $\dim R \leq 4$;
- (c) $\dim M/IM \leq 2$ and M satisfies Serre's condition S_{n-3} ;
- (d) $\dim M/IM \leq 3$, $\text{ann}_R M = 0$, R is unramified, and M satisfies S_{n-3} .

In these cases we also prove that $H_I^i(M)_p$ is I_p -cofinite for all but finitely many primes p of R .

Additionally, we show that if $\dim R/I \geq 2$ and $\text{Spec } R/I - \{m/I\}$ is disconnected then $H_I^{d-1}(R)$ is not I -cofinite, generalizing a result due to Huneke and Koh.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a local Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R and M a finitely generated R -module. It is well known that the local cohomology modules $H_I^i(M)$ are not generally Noetherian for $i > 0$. However, in recent years there have been several results showing that, under certain conditions, local cohomology modules share some special properties with Noetherian modules. Perhaps the most striking of these results is the following: If R is an unramified regular local ring then for all ideals I of R and all $i \geq 0$ the set of associated primes of $H_I^i(R)$ is finite and the Bass numbers (with respect to any prime) of $H_I^i(R)$ are finite. This was proved in the case of positive characteristic by Huneke and Sharp [HS] and in the case of characteristic zero by Lyubeznik [L1], [L2].

In a 1970 paper Hartshorne [Ha] gave an example which shows that the Bass numbers of $H_I^i(R)$ may be infinite if R is not regular. Until recently, it was an open question as to whether the set of associated primes of $H_I^i(R)$ is finite for an arbitrary Noetherian ring R and any ideal I . However, examples given by A. Singh [Si] (in the non-local case) and M. Katzman [Kz] (in the local case) show there exist local cohomology modules of Noetherian rings with infinitely many associated primes.

In this paper, we show that when $\dim R$ or $\dim M/IM$ is 'small' the set of associated primes of $H_I^i(M)$ for $i \geq 0$ is finite. In fact, we are able to prove the

Date: January 15, 2002.

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0071008.

stronger result that the set of associated primes of $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_I^j(M))$ is finite for all i and j . Furthermore, we show that $H_I^j(M)$ is ‘almost’ locally I -cofinite, meaning that for all but finitely many primes p of R , $H_I^j(M)_p$ is I_p -cofinite. We can summarize many of our main results (found in section 3) as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a regular local ring, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension n . Suppose one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\dim M \leq 3$;
- (b) $\dim R \leq 4$;
- (c) $\dim M/IM \leq 2$ and M satisfies Serre’s condition S_{n-3} ;
- (d) $\dim R/I = 3$, $\text{ann}_R M = 0$, R is unramified, and M satisfies S_{n-3} .

Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_I^j(M))$ has finitely many associated primes for all i and j . Furthermore, $H_I^j(M)_p$ is I_p -cofinite for almost all primes p ; i.e., $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_I^j(M))_p$ is a finitely generated R_p -module for all i, j and all but finitely many p .

We give examples in section 3 to show that some of the above conditions for $H_I^j(M)$ to be almost locally I -cofinite are the best possible. Also, Katzman’s example shows that the finiteness of the set of associated primes of $H_I^j(R)$ does not hold for arbitrary modules over a six-dimensional regular local ring. It remains an open question whether there exists a local cohomology module over a five-dimensional regular local ring with infinitely many associated primes.

Of central importance in this paper is the concept of cofiniteness, first defined by Hartshorne [Ha] and further studied by Huneke and Koh [HK]. Many of our ideas were inspired by a careful reading of these two papers. An R -module N is called I -cofinite if $\text{Supp}_R N \subseteq V(I)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated for all $i \geq 0$. (Here and throughout, $V(I)$ denotes the set of prime ideals containing I .) Hartshorne proved that if R is complete regular local ring and M is a finitely generated R -module then the local cohomology modules $H_I^i(M)$ are I -cofinite if either $\dim R/I \leq 1$ or I is principal. Subsequently, these results were shown to hold for arbitrary Noetherian local rings in [Yo], [DM], and [Ka]. Hartshorne, and later Huneke and Koh, gave examples of local cohomology modules $H_I^i(M)$ which are not I -cofinite. A key step in many of our proofs is to choose an element $x \in R$ avoiding a countably infinite set of primes such that $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all i . When such an element exists is the subject of section 2.

In section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 as well as the following generalization of [HK, Theorem 3.6(ii)]:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (R, m) be a complete Cohen-Macaulay normal local ring and I an ideal such that $\dim R/I \geq 2$ and $\text{Spec } R/I - \{m/I\}$ is disconnected. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^{d-1}(R))$ is not finitely generated. Consequently, $H_I^{d-1}(R)$ is not I -cofinite.*

By a ‘ring’ we always mean a commutative ring with identity. Local rings are assumed to be Noetherian. We refer the reader to [Mat] or [BH] for any unexplained terminology. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R M$ denotes the set of associated

primes of the R -module M and $\text{Supp}_R M$ denotes the support of M . For the definition of local cohomology and its basic properties, we refer the reader to [BS].

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We begin this section with an elementary result:

Lemma 2.1. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and M an R -module. Then the set*

$$\{x \in R \mid M_x \text{ is a finitely generated } R_x\text{-module}\}$$

is an ideal of R .

Proof: It is enough to show that if M_x and M_y are finitely generated modules (over R_x and R_y , respectively), then M_{x+y} is a finitely generated R_{x+y} -module. Let A, B be finitely generated R -submodules of M such that $A_x = M_x$ and $B_y = M_y$. We claim that $(A + B)_{x+y} = M_{x+y}$: Let $m \in M$. Since $R_x m \subseteq A_x$ we have $x \in \sqrt{(A : m)}$, where $(A : m) = \{r \in R \mid rm \in A\}$. Similarly, $y \in \sqrt{(B : m)}$. Hence, $x + y \in \sqrt{(A + B : m)}$, which implies $R_{x+y} m \subseteq (A + B)_{x+y}$. $\square$

Definition 2.2. Let R be local ring of dimension d , I an ideal, and M a finitely generated R -module. For each $i \geq 0$ define

$$D^i(I, M) := \{x \in R \mid H_I^i(M)_x \text{ is } I_x\text{-cofinite}\}.$$

By the lemma, it is clear that $D^i(I, M)$ is an ideal of R . Further, let

$$D(I, M) := \bigcap_{i=0}^d D^i(I, M).$$

We note that if $p \not\in D^i = D^i(I, M)$ then $H_I^i(M)_p$ is I_p -cofinite. Thus, $V(D^i)$ contains the non- I -cofinite locus of $H_I^i(M)$. The following are some additional observations concerning $D^i(I, M)$. Throughout this section, we adopt the convention that the dimension of the zero ring is -1 .

Remark 2.3. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension n . Let $D^i = D^i(I, M)$. Then*

- (a) $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite if and only if $D^i = R$;
- (b) D^i is a radical ideal containing I ;
- (c) $D^0 = R$;
- (d) $\dim R/D^n \leq 0$;
- (e) $\dim R/D^{n-1} \leq 1$.

Proof: Statements (a)-(d) are clear. For (e), we note that $\text{Supp}_R H_I^{n-1}(M)$ is finite by [Mar, Corollary 2.5]. $\square$

The main result of this section is that under certain conditions $\dim R/D \leq 1$. In section 3 we give examples to show that this inequality does not hold in general.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a local ring, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension n . Let $D := D(I, M)$ and suppose one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\dim M \leq 3$;
- (b) $\dim R = 4$ and R is a UFD;
- (c) R is the quotient of a Cohen-Macaulay ring, $\dim M/IM \leq 2$, and either $\dim M \leq 4$ or M satisfies Serre's condition S_{n-3} ;
- (d) R is a unramified regular local ring, $\dim R/I \leq 3$, and M satisfies S_{d-3} where $d = \dim R = \dim M$.

Then $\dim R/D \leq 1$.

The proof of case (a) follows immediately from parts (c), (d), and (e) of Remark 2.3. We give the proofs of the remaining cases separately. The following proposition will be useful in our arguments.

Proposition 2.5. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module. Suppose there exists an integer $h \geq 0$ such that $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite for all $i \neq h$. Then $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite for all i .*

Proof: Consider the Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_R^p(R/I, H_I^q(M)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{p+q}(R/I, M).$$

Since $E_r^{p,q}$ is a subquotient of $E_2^{p,q}$ for all $r \geq 2$, our hypotheses give us that $E_r^{p,q}$ is finitely generated for all $r \geq 2$, $p \geq 0$, and $q \neq h$. For each $r \geq 2$ and $p, q \geq 0$, let $Z_r^{p,q} = \ker(E_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E_r^{p+r,q-r+1})$ and $B_r^{p,q} = \text{im}(E_r^{p-r,q+r-1} \rightarrow E_r^{p,q})$. Note that $B_r^{p,q}$ is finitely generated for all p, q , and $r \geq 2$, since either $E_r^{p-r,q+r-1}$ or $E_r^{p,q}$ is finitely generated. For all $r \geq 2$ and $p \geq 0$ we have the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow B_r^{p,h} \rightarrow Z_r^{p,h} \rightarrow E_{r+1}^{p,h} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow Z_r^{p,h} \rightarrow E_r^{p,h} \rightarrow B_r^{p+r,q-r+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $E_\infty^{p,h}$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of $\text{Ext}_R^{p+h}(R/I, M)$ and thus is finitely generated for all p . Since $E_r^{p,h} = E_\infty^{p,h}$ for r sufficiently large, we have that $E_r^{p,h}$ is finitely generated for all p and all large r . Fix p and r and suppose $E_{r+1}^{p,h}$ is finitely generated. From the first exact sequence we obtain that $Z_r^{p,h}$ is finitely generated. From the second exact sequence we get that $E_r^{p,h}$ is finitely generated. Continuing in this fashion, we see that $E_r^{p,h}$ is finitely generated for all $r \geq 2$ and all p . In particular, $E_2^{p,h} = \text{Ext}_R^p(R/I, H_I^h(M))$ is finitely generated for all p . $\square$

We note some easy consequences of this result:

Corollary 2.6. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R , M a finitely generated R -module, and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

- (a) *If R is local and $\dim R \leq 2$ then $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite for all $i \geq 0$.*
- (b) *Suppose $H_I^i(M)$ is finitely generated for all $i < h$ and $H_I^i(M) = 0$ for all $i > h$. Then $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite for all i . In particular, if $H_I^i(M) = 0$ for all $i > 1$ then $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite for all i (cf. [Ha], [Ka]).*

(c) Suppose $H_I^i(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq h, h+1$. Then $H_I^h(M)$ is I -cofinite if and only if $H_I^{h+1}(M)$ is I -cofinite.

Proof: For part (a), note that $H_I^0(M)$ and $H_I^d(M)$, where $d = \dim R$, are both I -cofinite ([DM, Theorem 3]). The remaining statements follow immediately from Proposition 2.5. $\square$

We will also need the following result:

Lemma 2.7. *Let R be a local ring which is the homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay ring, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module. Let $n = \dim M$ and $r = \dim M/IM$. Suppose that*

- a) M is equidimensional, and
- b) M satisfies Serre's condition S_ℓ for some $\ell \leq n - r - 1$.

Then $H_I^i(M)$ is finitely generated for all $i < \ell + 1$.

Proof: Without loss of generality we can assume that $\text{ann}_R M = (0)$. As M is an equidimensional R -module with $\text{ann}_R M = (0)$, we note R is equidimensional. As R is a quotient of a Cohen-Macaulay ring, we may assume R is complete as well ([BS, 9.6.3], [Mat, §23, §31]). Let $p \in \text{Spec } R$. If $\text{ht } p \leq \ell$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } M_p + \text{ht}(I + p)/p &= \text{ht } p + \text{ht}(I + p)/p \\ &= \text{ht}(I + p) \\ &\geq \text{ht } I \\ &= n - r \\ &\geq \ell + 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{ht } p > \ell$ and $p \not\supseteq I$ then $\text{depth } M_p + \text{ht}(I + p)/p \geq \ell + 1$. Hence

$$\min\{\text{depth } M_p + \text{ht}(I + p)/p \mid p \notin V(I)\} \geq \ell + 1.$$

By [Fa] or [BS, 9.5.2], $H_I^i(M)$ is finitely generated for all $i < \ell + 1$. $\square$

The following is a generalization of [EGA, 5.10.9]:

Lemma 2.8. *Let (R, m) be a catenary local ring and M a finitely generated indecomposable R -module which satisfies Serre's condition S_2 . Then M is equidimensional.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, we may assume $\text{ann}_R M = 0$. Suppose R is not equidimensional. Let $X := \{P \in \text{Min } R \mid \dim R/P = \dim R\}$ and $Y := \{P \in \text{Min } R \mid \dim R/P < \dim R\}$. Furthermore, let

$$I = \bigcap_{P \in X} P \quad \text{and} \quad J = \bigcap_{P \in Y} P.$$

Then $I + J \subseteq m$ and $\text{ht}(I + J) \geq 1$.

We claim that $\text{ht}(I + J) \geq 2$. For, suppose there exists a height one prime Q containing $I + J$. Then there exist $P_1 \in X$ and $P_2 \in Y$ such that $P_1 + P_2 \subseteq Q$. As R is local, catenary and $\text{ht } Q/P_1 = \text{ht } Q/P_2 = 1$, we have

$$\dim R/P_1 = \dim R/Q + \text{ht } Q/P_1 = \dim R/Q + \text{ht } Q/P_2 = \dim R/P_2,$$

a contradiction.

Since $\text{ht}(I + J) \geq 2$ and M satisfies S_2 , we have $H_{I+J}^0(M) = H_{I+J}^1(M) = 0$. Thus, by the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we obtain

$$M = H_{I \cap J}^0(M) \cong H_I^0(M) \oplus H_J^0(M).$$

But $H_I^0(M)$ and $H_J^0(M)$ are nonzero, contradicting that M is indecomposable. $\square$

The condition that M be indecomposable in the above lemma is necessary. For example, let $R = k[[u, v, w, x, y]]$ be a power series ring over a field k . Then $M = R/(u, v) \oplus R/(w, x, y)$ is S_2 but not equidimensional.

We now give the proof of part (c) of Theorem 2.4.

Proposition 2.9. *Let R be a local ring which is the homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay ring, M a finitely generated R -module of dimension n , and I an ideal of R such that $\dim M/IM \leq 2$. Suppose that either $n \leq 4$ or M satisfies S_{n-3} . Then $\dim R/D \leq 1$ where $D = D(I, M)$.*

Proof: We consider first the case when $n \leq 4$. If $n \leq 3$ the result follows by Theorem 2.4 (a). Assume that $\dim R/p = 4$ for all $p \in \text{Ass}_R M$. Then M satisfies S_1 and $H_I^i(M)$ is finitely generated for $i < 2$ by Lemma 2.7. Let $J = D^3(I, M) \cap D^4(I, M)$. Then $\dim R/J \leq 1$ by Remark 2.3. For any $x \in J$, $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for $i \neq 2$. By Corollary 2.6, $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all i and for all $x \in J$. Thus, $J \subseteq D$ and $\dim R/D \leq 1$.

Now let M be an arbitrary finitely generated four-dimensional R -module. Let N be the largest R -submodule of M such that $\dim N \leq 3$. Then $\dim R/p = 4$ for all $p \in \text{Ass}_R M/N$. Thus, $\dim R/D(I, M/N) \leq 1$ by the preceding argument. Let $V(J_1) = \text{Supp}_R H_I^2(N) \cup \text{Supp}_R H_I^3(N)$ and $J = J_1 \cap D(I, M/N)$. By Remark 2.3, $\dim R/J \leq 1$. For all $x \in J$, $H_I^i(N)_x = 0$ for $i > 1$ and $H_I^i(M/N)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all i . Hence $H_I^i(M)_x \cong H_I^i(M/N)_x$ for all $i > 1$; consequently, $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all $i > 1$. By Proposition 2.5, we obtain that $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all i and all $x \in J$. Thus, $J \subseteq D$.

We now consider the case when $n \geq 5$ and M satisfies S_{n-3} . Without loss of generality, we may assume that M is indecomposable. (If $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ then $D(I, M_1) \cap \cdots \cap D(I, M_n) = D(I, M)$.) Since R is catenary, M is equidimensional by Lemma 2.8. Hence, by Lemma 2.7, $H_I^i(M)$ is finitely generated for $i < n - 2$. Let $J = D^{n-1}(I, M) \cap D^n(I, M)$. Then $\dim R/J \leq 1$ and for all $x \in J$ and $i \neq n - 2$ we have that $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite. Thus, by Proposition 2.5, $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all $i \geq 0$ and $x \in J$. $\square$

We next give the proof of part (b) of Theorem 2.4:

Proposition 2.10. *Let R be a four-dimensional local UFD, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module. Then $\dim R/D \leq 1$ where $D = D(I, M)$.*

Proof: We may assume that $\dim M = 4$ by Theorem 2.4 (a). The case when $\text{ht } I = 0$ is trivial ($D = R$).

Case 1: $\text{ht } I \geq 2$.

Suppose first that M is torsion-free. Since R is a domain there exists a torsion R -module C and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

where $n = \text{rank } M$. Since R satisfies Serre's condition S_2 we have $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. Therefore $H_I^1(M) \cong H_I^0(C)$, which is a finitely generated R -module. Let $J = D^3(I, M) \cap D^4(I, M)$. Then $\dim R/J \leq 1$ and $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all $x \in J$ and $i \neq 2$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.5, $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all i and $x \in J$. Consequently, $J \subseteq D$.

Now let M be an arbitrary finitely generated R -module and T the torsion submodule of M . Then M/T is torsion-free and $\dim T \leq 3$. Let $J = J_1 \cap J_2$ where $J_1 = D(I, M/T)$ and $V(J_2) = \text{Supp}_R H_I^2(T) \cup \text{Supp}_R H_I^3(T)$. Then $\dim R/J \leq 1$. Let $x \in J$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_x \rightarrow M_x \rightarrow (M/T)_x \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain that $H_I^i(M)_x \cong H_I^i(M/T)_x$ for all $i \geq 2$. Therefore, $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all $i \neq 1$. By Proposition 2.5 $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all i . Thus, $\dim R/D \leq 1$.

Case 2: $\text{ht } I = 1$.

We may assume $I = \sqrt{I}$. As R is a UFD, $I = K \cap (f)$ where $\text{ht } K \geq 2$ and $\text{ht}(K + (f)) \geq 3$. Let $J = D(K, M) \cap (K + (f))$. Then $\dim R/J \leq 1$ by Case 1. For $x \in J$ we have that $K_x + (f)_x = R_x$ and $(R/I)_x \cong (R/K)_x \oplus (R/(f))_x$. By the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we obtain that

$$H_I^i(M)_x \cong H_K^i(M)_x \oplus H_{(f)}^i(M)_x$$

for all i . Furthermore,

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(R/K, H_{(f)}^j(M))_x = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(R/(f), H_K^j(M))_x$$

for all i and j , since any prime in the support of these modules contains $K_x + (f)_x = R_x$. Combining these facts, we have for all $x \in J$ and all i, j

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_I^j(M))_x \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(R/K, H_K^j(M))_x \oplus \text{Ext}_R^i(R/(f), H_{(f)}^j(M))_x.$$

The first summand is finitely generated as $x \in D(K, M)$ and the second is finitely generated by Corollary 2.6. Thus, $J \subseteq D(I, M)$ and $\dim R/D(I, M) \leq 1$. $\square$

Finally, we prove part (d) of Proposition 2.4:

Proposition 2.11. *Let R be an unramified regular local ring, I an ideal of R , and M a faithful finitely generated R -module. Suppose that $\dim R/I \leq 3$ and M satisfies S_{d-3} where $d = \dim R$. Then $\dim R/D(I, M) \leq 1$.*

Proof: By Proposition 2.9, we may assume that $\dim R/I = 3$. By Lemma 2.7, $H_I^i(M)$ is finitely generated for $i < d - 3$.

Suppose first that R/I is equidimensional.

Claim: $\text{Supp}_R H_I^{d-2}(M)$ is finite.

Proof: Let $Q \in \text{Supp}_R H_I^{d-2}(M)$. Clearly, $\text{ht } Q \geq d - 2$. In fact, $\text{ht } Q \geq d - 1$ by the Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum Vanishing Theorem (HLVT), as $\dim R_Q/I_Q > 0$.

If $\text{ht } Q = d - 1$ then $Q \in \text{Supp}_R H_I^{d-2}(R)$ (since, again by HLVT, $H_I^{d-1}(R)_Q = 0$). Thus, $Q \in \text{Ass}_R H_I^{d-2}(R)$, since Q is minimal in $\text{Supp}_R H_I^{d-2}(R)$. As $\text{Ass}_R H_I^{d-2}(R)$ is a finite set ([HS], [L1], [L2]), the claim now follows.

By the claim, we have that $\dim R/D^{d-2}(I, M) \leq 1$. Now let $J = D^{d-2}(I, M) \cap D^{d-1}(I, M) \cap D^d(I, M)$. Then $\dim R/J \leq 1$ and for all $x \in J$, $H_I^i(R)_x$ is finitely generated for all $i \neq d - 3$. Thus, $H_I^i(R)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all $i \geq 0$ and $x \in J$ by Proposition 2.5. Hence, $J \subseteq D(I, M)$.

Now suppose that R/I is not equidimensional. Assume $I = \sqrt{I}$ and let $I = K \cap L$ where R/K is equidimensional, $\dim R/K = 3$, $\dim R/L \leq 2$, and $\dim R/(K + L) \leq 1$. Now, let $J = D(K, M) \cap D(L, M) \cap (K + L)$. Then $\dim R/J \leq 1$ by the preceding argument and Proposition 2.9. For all $x \in J$ we have that $K_x + L_x = R_x$ and $H_I^i(M)_x \cong H_K^i(M)_x \oplus H_L^i(M)_x$ for all i . Proceeding as in Case 2 of the proof of Proposition 2.10, we obtain that $H_I^i(M)_x$ is I_x -cofinite for all i and $x \in J$. Thus, $J \subseteq D(I, M)$ and $\dim R/D(I, M) \leq 1$. $\square$

3. COFINITENESS AND ASSOCIATED PRIMES

In this section we apply the results of section 2 to show that, under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, the set of associated primes of $H_I^i(M)$ is finite. Moreover, we prove that the set $\text{Ass}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(N, H_I^j(M))$ is finite for all i, j , and any finitely generated R -module N with support in $V(I)$. We first recall some facts from [Mar]:

Remark 3.1. *Let (R, m) be a local ring, I an ideal of R , and M an R -module. Let $\hat{R}$ be the m -adic completion of R .*

- (a) *If $\text{Ass}_{\hat{R}}(M \otimes_R \hat{R})$ is finite then $\text{Ass}_R M$ is finite.*
- (b) *If $\text{Supp}_R M \subseteq V(I)$ then $\text{Ass}_R M = \text{Ass}_R \text{Hom}_R(R/I, M)$.*

We also need a slight improvement of a result due to Burch [Bu]:

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose (R, m) is a complete local ring and $\{P_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ is a countable collection of prime ideals of R , none of which contain I . Then there is an element $x \in I$ which is not contained in P_i for all i .*

Proof: Without loss of generality, we can assume there are no containment relations among the P_i . We inductively construct a Cauchy sequence $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\} \subseteq I$ as follows: Choose $x_1 \in I$ with $x_1 \notin P_1$. Suppose we have $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1} \in I$ such that for all i, s with $i \leq s \leq r - 1$ we have $x_s \notin P_i$ and $x_s - x_i \in P_i \cap I^i$.

If $x_{r-1} \notin P_r$, set $x_r = x_{r-1}$. If $x_{r-1} \in P_r$, choose y_r such that $y_r \notin P_r$ but $y_r \in P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_{r-1} \cap I$. Set $x_r = x_{r-1} + y_r^{r-1}$. Note that $x_r \in I$ but $x_r \notin P_i$ for all $i \leq r$. Clearly,

$$x_r - x_{r-1} = y_r^{r-1} \in P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_{r-1} \cap I^{r-1}.$$

Furthermore, for all $i \leq r - 1$

$$x_r - x_i = x_r - x_{r-1} + x_{r-1} - x_i \in P_i \cap I^i.$$

Assume that x_r is chosen in this fashion for all positive integers r . Then $\{x_r\}_{r=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete local ring R and hence has a limit x . As ideals are closed in the m -adic topology, $x \in I$. Also, for any fixed $i \geq 1$, $\{x_s - x_i\}_{s=i}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence contained in P_i . Thus $x - x_i \in P_i$ for all i and hence $x \notin P_i$ for all i . $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *Let R be a local ring, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension n . Suppose one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\dim M \leq 3$;
- (b) $\dim R = 4$ and the completion of R is a UFD;
- (c) R is the quotient of a Cohen-Macaulay ring, $\dim R/I \leq 2$, and either $\dim M \leq 4$ or M satisfies Serre's condition S_{n-3} ;
- (d) R is a unramified regular local ring, $\dim R/I \leq 3$, and M satisfies S_{d-3} where $d = \dim R = \dim M$.

Then for any finitely generated R -module N such that $\text{Supp}_R N \subseteq V(I)$ we have $\text{Ass}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(N, H_I^j(M))$ is a finite set for all i and j . In particular, $\text{Ass}_R H_I^i(M)$ is finite for all i .

Proof: By Remark 3.1 we can assume that R is complete. Suppose that $\text{Ass}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(N, H_I^j(M))$ is infinite for some i, j, N . By Theorem 2.4, $\dim R/D \leq 1$ where $D = D(I, M)$. Hence, there exists a countably infinite subset $\{P_\ell\}$ of $\text{Ass}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(N, H_I^j(M))$ such that $P_\ell \not\supseteq D$ for all ℓ . By Lemma 3.2, there exists $x \in D$ such that $x \notin P_\ell$ for all ℓ . As $x \in D$, $\text{Ext}_R^p(R/I, H_I^q(M))_x$ is a finitely generated R_x -module for all p, q . Hence, by [HK, Lemma 4.2] we have that $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, H_I^j(M))_x$ is a finitely generated R_x -module. But as $x \notin P_i$ for all i , $\text{Ass}_{R_x} \text{Ext}_R^i(N, H_I^j(M))_x$ is an infinite set, a contradiction.

The last assertion follows by Remark 3.1. $\square$

In [Kz], M. Katzman proves that if $R = k[x, y, u, v, s, t]/(f)$, where k is an arbitrary field and $f = sx^2v^2 - (t + s)xyuv + ty^2u^2$, and $I = (u, v)R$, then $H_I^2(R)$ has infinitely many associated primes. This shows that the conclusion of Theorem 3.3 does not hold in general if $\dim R = 5$ or $\dim R/I = 4$, even when M is Cohen-Macaulay.

Under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, we can also show that the non- I -cofinite locus of $H_I^i(M)$ is finite for all i :

Theorem 3.4. *Let (R, m) be a local ring, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension n . Suppose one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\dim M \leq 3$;
- (b) $\dim R/I \leq 2$;
- (c) $\dim R = 4$ and R is a UFD;
- (d) R is an unramified regular local ring, $\dim R/I \leq 3$, and M satisfies S_{d-3} where $d = \dim R = \dim M$.

Then $H_I^i(M)_p$ is I_p -cofinite for all but finitely many primes p of R . Furthermore, the Bass numbers $\mu_j(p, H_I^i(M))$ are finite for i, j and all but finitely many primes p .

Proof: The second assertion follows readily from the first and [HK, Lemma 4.2]. Case (b) follows from [DM, Theorem 1], since $\dim R_Q/I_Q \leq 1$ for all $Q \neq m$. For cases (a), (c), and (d), we have that $\dim R/D \leq 1$ where $D = D(I, M)$ by Theorem 2.4. Therefore, $V(D)$ is a finite set and for all $p \notin V(D)$, $H_I^i(M)_p$ is I_p -cofinite for all i . $\square$

We now wish to give some examples to show that the conclusion of Theorem 3.4 (and therefore of Theorem 2.4) does not hold in general. Before doing so, we first prove the following result, which is the core argument in the proof of [HK, Theorem 2.3]:

Lemma 3.5. *Let (R, m) be a complete local ring, I an ideal of R , and N an R -module such that $\text{Supp}_R N \subseteq \{m\}$. Suppose $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated. Then N is Artinian, $I + \text{ann}_R N$ is m -primary, and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, N)$ has finite length for all i .*

Proof: Since $\text{Hom}_R(R/m, N)$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, N)$, we have that $\text{Hom}_R(R/m, N)$ is finitely generated. Consequently, as $\text{Supp}_R N \subseteq \{m\}$, N is Artinian and the Matlis dual $N^\vee$ of N is a finitely generated R -module. Now, by [Ro, Theorem 11.57], $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, N)^\vee \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N^\vee)$. As $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated and Artinian, it has finite length. Consequently, $R/I \otimes_R N^\vee$ has finite length and $I + \text{ann}_R(N^\vee)$ is m -primary. This implies that $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N^\vee)$ has finite length for all i . The last assertion now follows by Matlis duality. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *Let (R, m) be a d -dimensional analytically normal local Cohen-Macaulay domain and I an ideal of R . Suppose*

- (a) $\dim R/I \geq 2$, and
- (b) $\dim R/Q = 1$ for some $Q \in \text{Min}_R R/I$.

Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^{d-1}(R))$ is not finitely generated.

Proof: By passing to the completion, we can assume R is a complete CM normal domain. Suppose $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^{d-1}(R))$ is finitely generated. Assume that $I = \sqrt{I}$ and let $I = J \cap K$ where $\dim R/J = 1$, $\dim R/p \geq 2$ for all $p \in \text{Min}_R R/K$, and $\sqrt{J+K} = m$. By HLVT, we have $H_J^d(R) = H_K^d(R) = 0$. Also, $\text{Supp}_R H_K^{d-1}(R) \subseteq \{m\}$, since for all dimension one primes P containing K one has $\dim(R/K)_P > 0$ and R_P is analytically irreducible. By the Mayer-Vietoris sequence we have

$$0 \rightarrow H_J^{d-1}(R) \oplus H_K^{d-1}(R) \rightarrow H_I^{d-1}(R) \rightarrow H_m^d(R) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/J, H_J^{d-1}(R)) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(R/J, H_K^{d-1}(R)) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/J, H_I^{d-1}(R)) \rightarrow \\ \text{Hom}_R(R/J, H_m^d(R)) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, H_J^{d-1}(R)) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, H_K^{d-1}(R)) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now $\text{Hom}_R(R/J, H_I^{d-1}(R))$ is finitely generated as it is isomorphic to a submodule of $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^{d-1}(R))$. Also, since $\dim R/J = 1$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/J, H_J^{d-1}(R))$ is finitely

generated for all i ([DM, Theorem 1]). Further, as $\text{Hom}_R(R/J, H_K^{d-1}(R))$ is finitely generated (by the long exact sequence above) and $\text{Supp}_R H_K^{d-1}(R) \subseteq \{m\}$, we can apply Lemma 3.5 to get that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/J, H_K^{d-1}(R))$ has finite length for all i . Hence, $\text{Hom}_R(R/J, H_m^d(R))$ is finitely generated. Applying Lemma 3.5 again, we have $J + \text{ann}_R H_m^d(R)$ is m -primary, which is a contradiction since $\text{ann}_R H_m^d(R) = 0$. $\square$

Example 3.7. Let $R = k[x, y, z]_{(x, y, z)}$ where k is a field. Let $I = ((x) \cap (y, z))R$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^2(R))$ is not finitely generated. Consequently, $H_I^i(R)$ is not I -cofinite for $i = 1, 2$ by Proposition 2.5.

In a sense, this example represents the “minimal” example of a local cohomology module which is not cofinite. If $\dim R \leq 2$ then $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite for all I, M and $i \geq 0$ by Corollary 2.6(a). If R is a 3-dimensional regular local ring and I is an ideal such that $H_I^i(R)$ is not I -cofinite for some i , then one can easily show that $I = (f) \cap J$ where $\dim R/J = 1$ and (J, f) is m -primary.

Example 3.8. Let $R = k[x, y, z, u, v]_{(x, y, z, u, v)}$, $I = ((x) \cap (y, z))R$, and $P = (x, y, z)R$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^2(R))_P$ is not a finitely generated R_P -module by Proposition 3.6. Hence $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^2(R))_Q$ is not a finitely generated R_Q -module for any prime Q of R containing P .

The above example shows there exists a five-dimensional regular local ring R and an ideal I with $\dim R/I = 4$ such that $H_I^i(R)_Q$ is not I_Q -cofinite for $i = 1, 2$ and infinitely many primes Q . Hence, $\dim R/D(I, R) \geq 2$. However, the Bass numbers and the sets of associated primes of $H_I^i(R)$ are finite for all i for this example by [HS] and [L1].

Our final result extends Proposition 3.6 and generalizes [HK, Theorem 3.6(ii)].

Theorem 3.9. *Let (R, m) be an analytically normal Cohen-Macaulay local domain and I an ideal such that $\dim R/I \geq 2$. If $\text{Spec } R/I - \{m/I\}$ is disconnected then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^{d-1}(R))$ is not finitely generated.*

Proof: We may assume R is a complete CM normal domain. By virtue of Proposition 3.6, we can assume $\dim R/Q \geq 2$ for all $Q \in \text{Min}_R R/I$. Hence, $\text{Supp}_R H_I^{d-1}(R) \subseteq \{m\}$, since for all primes P of height $d-1$ containing I we have $\dim(R/I)_P > 0$ and R_P is analytically irreducible. Now, as the punctured spectrum of R/I is disconnected, we can use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence to obtain a surjective map $H_I^{d-1}(R) \rightarrow H_m^d(R)$. Since $\text{ann}_R H_m^d(R) = 0$ we obtain that $\text{ann}_R H_I^{d-1}(R) = 0$. Hence $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^{d-1}(R))$ is not finitely generated by Lemma 3.5.

Example 3.10. Let k be a field, $R = (k[x, y, u, v]/(xu - yv))_{(x, y, u, v)}$, and $I = (x, y)R \cap (u, v)R$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^2(R))$ is not finitely generated.

REFERENCES

- [BS] Brodmann, M. and Sharp, R., *Local Cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics no. **60**, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

- [BH] Bruns, W. and Herzog, J., *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics no. **39**, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [Bu] Burch, L., *Codimension and analytic spread*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **72**, 369-373 (1972).
- [DM] Delfino, D. and Marley, T., *Cofinite modules and local cohomology*, J. Pure and App. Alg. **121**, 45-52 (1997).
- [Fa] Faltings, G., *Über die Annulatoren lokaler Kohomologiegruppen*, Archiv der Math. **30**, 473-476 (1978).
- [EGA] Grothendieck, A., *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **24** (1965).
- [Ha] Hartshorne, R., *Affine Duality and Cofiniteness*, Inv. Math. **9**, 145-164 (1970).
- [HK] Huneke, C. and Koh, J., *Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Math. Proc Camb. Phil. Soc. **110**, 421-429 (1991).
- [HS] Huneke, C. and Sharp, R., *Bass Numbers of local cohomology modules*, Trans. A.M.S. **339**, 765-779 (1993).
- [Kz] Katzman, M., *An example of an infinite set of associated primes of a local cohomology module*, J. Algebra, to appear.
- [Ka] Kawasaki, K.-I., *Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for principal ideals*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **30**, 241-246 (1998).
- [L1] Lyubeznik, G., *Finiteness Properties of local cohomology modules (An application of D-modules to commutative algebra)*, Inv. Math. **113**, 41-55 (1993).
- [L2] Lyubeznik, G., *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for regular local rings of mixed characteristic: The unramified case*, Comm. Alg. **28** no. 12, 5867-5882 (2000).
- [Mar] Marley, T., *The associated primes of local cohomology modules of small dimension*, Manuscripta Math. **104**, 519-525 (2001).
- [Mat] Matsumura, H., *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics no. **8**, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [Ro] Rotman, J., *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Orlando, FL, Academic Press, 1979.
- [Si] Singh, A., *p-torsion elements in local cohomology modules*, Math. Res. Letters **7**, 165-176 (2000).
- [Yo] Yoshida, K.-I. *Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of dimension one*, Nagoya Math. J. **147**, 179-191 (1997).

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA-LINCOLN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS,
LINCOLN, NE 68588-0323

E-mail address: tmarley@math.unl.edu

URL: <http://www.math.unl.edu/~tmarley>

UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FAYETTEVILLE,
AR 72701

E-mail address: jvassil@uark.edu

URL: <http://comp.uark.edu/~jvassil>